Adverse drug reactions of montelukast in children and adults
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Abstract
Montelukast, a selective leukotriene receptor antagonist, is recommended in guidelines for the treatment of asthma in both children and adults. However, its effectiveness is debated, and recent studies have reported several adverse events such as neuropsychiatric disorders and allergic granulomatous angiitis. This study aims to obtain more insight into the safety profile of montelukast and to provide prescribing physicians with an overview of relevant adverse drug reactions in both children and adults. We retrospectively studied all adverse drug reactions on montelukast in children and adults reported to the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and the WHO Global database, VigiBase® until 2016. Depression was reported most frequently in the whole population to the global database VigiBase® (reporting odds ratio (ROR) 6.93; 95% CI: 6.5 – 7.4). In the VigiBase®, aggression was reported the most in children (ROR, 29.77; 95% CI: 27.5 – 32.2). Headaches were reported the most frequently to the Dutch database (ROR, 2.26; 95% CI: 1.61 – 3.19). Furthermore, nightmares are often reported for both children and adults to the Dutch and the global database. Eight patients with allergic granulomatous angiitis were reported to the Dutch database and 563 patients in the VigiBase®. These data demonstrate that montelukast is associated with neuropsychiatric adverse drug reactions such as depression and aggression. Especially in children nightmares are reported frequently. Allergic granulomatous angiitis is also reported, a causal relationship has not been established.
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ADR, adverse drug reaction; ATC, anatomical and therapeutic chemical classification; ICSR, individual case safety report; LTRA, leukotriene receptor antagonist; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; ROR, reporting odds ratio; SmPC, summary of product characteristics; UMC, Uppsala Monitoring Center; WHO, World Health Organization.

Introduction
Montelukast is a selective leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA) and is prescribed in both children and adults for, that is, the maintenance treatment of asthma and allergic rhinitis. Although the effectiveness is debated, (Hon et al. 2014; Brodlie et al. 2015, 2016) guidelines recommend montelukast for treatment of asthma (British Thoracic Society; Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 2014). The most common adverse events in adults according to the summary of product characteristics (SmPC) are upper airway infections (in >10% of all users) fever, rash, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and elevated levels of liver enzymes (Dutch Farmacotherapeutic Compass, 2016). Most common adverse events in children (1–10% of all users) according to the SmPC are headaches,
abdominal pain, rash, thirst, hyperkinesia, asthma, and eczema (Dutch Children’s Formulary, 2016). Recent studies have also reported adverse events such as sleeping disorders and psychiatric disorders (Calapai et al. 2014). In addition, allergic granulomatous angitis (Churg-Strauss syndrome) may also be associated with the use of montelukast (Calapai et al. 2014).

The aim of this study is to obtain more insight into the safety profile of montelukast in daily practice to provide prescribing physicians with an overview of relevant adverse drug reactions (ADRs) in children and adults. We therefore studied the reports of ADRs associated with montelukast in the Dutch spontaneous reporting database of the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and the WHO Global Individual Case Safety Report (ICSR) database VigiBase®, maintained by the Uppsala Monitoring Center (UMC) in Sweden.

**Materials and methods**

We retrospectively examined all ADRs on montelukast (Anatomical and Therapeutic Chemical classification (ATC) code R03DC03) in children aged 0–18 years and adults aged 19 years and older reported to the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and the WHO Global ICSR database, VigiBase® until 2016.

The reports in the Dutch spontaneous database (until 13-07-2016) were coded with the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA®) and individually assessed for causality by trained assessors.

For the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb, data, including suspect drug, co-medication, age and sex of the patient, and the suspected ADR, were extracted from the database. Reported ADRs were classified into the categories “non-serious” and “serious” based on international criteria. The latter included fatal outcome, life-threatening, requiring (prolongation of) hospitalisation, resulting in significant disability/incapacity, and other medically important conditions. All other ADRs were classified as nonserious (European Medicines Agency, 2009).

We report the serious and most often reported ADRs. In selected cases, we reassessed the causality of the reported drug reactions based on the Naranjo score. Moreover, the reporting odds ratio (ROR) was calculated for selected associations.

The Naranjo score is a quantitative method for determining the likelihood that an ADR is due to the drug (Naranjo et al. 1981). The ROR compares the rate of reporting a specific adverse effect in a drug with the rate of reporting the same adverse effect in all other drugs. The ROR is calculated by the following division: the numerator is the number of cases in which montelukast was used and a specific ADR was reported divided by the number of cases using montelukast in which this ADR was not reported; the denominator is the number of pediatric and adult cases using other suspected drugs, reporting a specific ADR divided by the number of cases using other suspected drugs without reporting that specific ADR. It is expressed as a point estimate with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). Furthermore, at least three reports have to be present in the database to compute a reliable ROR (Rothman et al. 2004). We calculated RORs for ADRs associated with montelukast based on the whole database and a separate ROR restricted to children <19 years of age. The ROR offers insight into disproportionality of an association, not into causality.

For the global database VigiBase®, we obtained numbers of reports and disproportionality (ROR) per reported association, both in adults and children through VigiLyze®, which is a search and analysis tool (available to member countries of the WHO Program for International Drug Monitoring).

Because no patients were involved, we did not ask the Institutional Review Board for approval.

**Results**

**Netherlands pharmacovigilance center Lareb**

In the Dutch spontaneous reporting database, 331 reports on ADRs after montelukast were present of which 124 (37.5%) were reported in men and 203 (61.3%) in women. In 4 cases (1.2%), the gender was unknown. In almost a third (107; 32.3%), the reports concerned individuals aged between 0 and 18 years and in 214 cases (64.7%), adults aged 19 years and older. In 10 cases of ADRs (3%) age was not reported. Of all reports, 45 (13.6%) were reported as serious (Table 1). There were two deaths: a 20-year-old woman with pulmonary embolism with a doubtful relation between the use of montelukast and the reaction, and a woman of unknown age with renal failure with a possible relation between the use of montelukast and the reaction. In 26 cases, the ADR led to hospitalisation; 10 patients recovered completely, seven patients have not yet recovered, three patients did not recover, and the recovery status of six patients was not known; none of them died. Reasons for hospitalisation were epilepsy, chest pain, insomnia, movement disorder, toxic skin eruption, neurological disorder, vasculitis, anaphylaxis, urticaria, gastrointestinal tract bleeding, abnormal liver function test, angioedema, general health deterioration, membranous lipodystrophy, eosinophilia, coughing, and anaphylactic reaction. In 16 patients, the adverse event was called...
serious because of angioedema, hypersensitivity, fatigue, epilepsy, aggression, pain in extremity, immune system disorder, confusional state, hemorrhage, abnormal dreams, excoriation, eosinophil count increased, and abdominal pain. In one patient, the adverse event was called serious but the report was on an accidental overdose.

Of the 45 patients with serious adverse events, eight patients had allergic granulomatous angiitis of which six patients were hospitalised; all patients survived. Their characteristics are presented in Table 2.

Of the 45 patients with serious adverse events, eight patients had allergic granulomatous angiitis of which six patients were hospitalised; all patients survived. Their characteristics are presented in Table 2.

### WHO global ICSR database, VigiBase®

In the global spontaneous reporting database, 17,723 reports on ADRs after montelukast were present of which 6960 (39.3%) were reported in men and 9732 (54.9%) in women. In 1031 cases (5.8%), the gender was unknown. Approximately a third (5743; 32.4%) of the reports concerned individuals aged 0–18 years old. Additionally, age was not reported in 3,665 cases of ADRs (20.7%).

### Most common adverse events Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and WHO global ICSR database, VigiBase®

Table 3 depicts the most frequent ADRs with RORs for all cases and for individuals under <19 years of age reported to both the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and the WHO Global ICSR database, VigiBase®.

As can be observed, depression was reported most frequently in the whole population to the global database, VigiBase®. The ROR is 6.93 (95% CI: 6.5–7.4). In the VigiBase®, aggression was reported the most in children <19 years of age. The ROR in children is 29.77 (95% CI: 27.5–32.2).

The highest RORs were found for aggression (24.99; 95% CI: 23.5–26.6), suicidal ideation (20.4; 95% CI: 19–22), abnormal behavior (34.05; 95% CI: 31.8–36.5), and nightmares (22.46; 95% CI: 20.9–24.2).

Other common ADRs in the whole population were headaches (ROR 1.85; 95% CI: 1.75–1.97), insomnia (5.08; 95% CI 4.8–5.4), anxiety (5.11; 95% CI: 4.8–5.5), dyspnea (1.30; 95% CI: 1.20–1.41), dizziness (0.89; 95% CI: 0.74–1.06), and abdominal pain (0.89; 95% CI: 0.74–1.06).

Table 1. Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) after montelukast reported to the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and deemed serious, for example, leading to death, hospitalisation, or life threatening condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse drug reaction</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Relation with montelukast according to the Naranjo score (Naranjo et al. 1981)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>20-year-old woman with pulmonary embolism</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woman of unknown age with renal failure.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic granulomatous angiitis</td>
<td>4-year-old girl with concomitant use of pulmicort and foradil.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioedema</td>
<td>Patient was treated with tavergil and prednisone. Montelukast was discontinued. Angioedema disappeared.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaise</td>
<td>45-year-old woman with concomitant use of 8 other medicines. Montelukast was discontinued and she recovered.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>9-year-old boy with no concomitant use of other medicines. Montelukast was discontinued and he recovered.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>Man of unknown age with concomitant use of seretide. Montelukast was discontinued and he recovered.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucination</td>
<td>13-year-old boy with no concomitant use of medicines. Montelukast was discontinued and he recovered.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>47-year-old man with concomitant use of 5 other medicines. Montelukast was discontinued and he recovered.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilia</td>
<td>66-year-old woman with concomitant use of seretide. Montelukast was discontinued and she recovered slowly.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightmare/somnambulism</td>
<td>72-year-old woman with concomitant use of 9 other medicines. Montelukast was discontinued and she recovered.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest discomfort</td>
<td>Woman of unknown age with concomitant use of 5 other medicines. Further information unknown</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woman of unknown age with concomitant use of 3 other medicines. Further information unknown.</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaphylactic reaction</td>
<td>13-year-old boy with concomitant use of 4 other medicines. Montelukast was discontinued and he recovered.</td>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CI: 0.82–0.97), myalgia (1.66; 95% CI: 1.49–1.84), and muscle spasms (2.44; 95% CI: 2.17–2.74).

Headaches were most frequently reported to the Dutch database for both the whole population and children. The RORs were 2.26 (95% CI: 1.61–3.19) and 3.18 (95% CI: 2.66–3.70), respectively. Other common ADRs in the whole population were aggression, insomnia, anxiety, abnormal behavior, dyspnea, rash, abdominal pain, and muscle spasms. The RORs and 95% CIs for these ADRs can be found in Table 3.

To the Dutch and the global database, nightmares were reported frequently for both children and adults aged 19 years and older. For VigiBase®, the RORs were 22.48 (95% CI: 20.8–24.2) and 78.04 (95% CI: 70.0–87.1) for the whole population and children aged <19 years, respectively. For Lareb, the RORs were 19.29 (95% CI: 12.8–29.2) for all cases and 56.72 (95% CI: 56.1–57.4) for individuals under 19 years of age.

In the VigiBase®, allergic granulomatous angiitis was reported in 563 patients.

### Discussion

In this study, we found several reported adverse drug events that were deemed serious, and we saw a high number of patients with allergic granulomatous angiitis in both the Dutch and the global database. Most of all, we found a high number of patients with neuropsychiatric adverse effects.

A fatal outcome was reported in two reported adverse drug events in the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center database. One patient, a 20-year-old woman, died after pulmonary embolism, and another woman of unknown age died due to renal failure. The relation between montelukast and the adverse event in the first patient was considered doubtful, and in the second patient, the relation between the use of montelukast and the reaction was possible. However, due to the limited information in both reported cases, we cannot confirm that these adverse events are caused by the use of montelukast. As far as we could establish, there are no other known cases reported in the literature nor were pulmonary embolism and renal failure found in the VigiBase®. Furthermore, we could not detect a specific pattern in the other reports of serious ADRs.

Allergic granulomatous angiitis was reported in eight patients in the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and in 563 patients in the WHO Global ICSR database, VigiBase®. Allergic granulomatous angiitis is a rare
Table 3. Most common adverse drug reactions (ADRs) after montelukast reported to the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Centre Lareb and the WHO Global ICSR database VigiBase®.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse drug reaction</th>
<th>Total number of reports at VigiBase (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of reports in children &lt;19 year at VigiBase (95% CI)</th>
<th>Total number of reports at Lareb (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of reports in children &lt;19 year at Lareb (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>1188 (6.54–7.36)</td>
<td>493 (18.65–22.58)</td>
<td>5 (0.79–4.62)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>1128 (1.75–1.97)</td>
<td>371 (1.72–2.12)</td>
<td>37 (1.61–3.19)</td>
<td>17 (2.66–3.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggression</td>
<td>1101 (23.49–26.59)</td>
<td>808 (27.54–32.18)</td>
<td>11 (5.06–16.99)</td>
<td>7 (11.24–12.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicidal ideation</td>
<td>1047 (19.18–21.76)</td>
<td>495 (34.68–42.2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>1020 (4.77–5.41)</td>
<td>417 (10.07–12.35)</td>
<td>15 (2.05–5.81)</td>
<td>7 (3.83–5.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>948 (4.79–5.46)</td>
<td>468 (15.41–18.72)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal behavior</td>
<td>892 (31.79–36.46)</td>
<td>643 (15.99–19.46)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.56 (7.79–9.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightmares</td>
<td>749 (20.87–24.21)</td>
<td>448 (69.95–87.07)</td>
<td>25 (12.75–29.17)</td>
<td>13 (56.09–57.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>649 (1.20–1.41)</td>
<td>120 (0.95–1.36)</td>
<td>13 (0.84–2.56)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>540 (0.59–0.71)</td>
<td>161 (0.26–0.36)</td>
<td>17 (1.09–2.89)</td>
<td>7 (0.51–2.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>511 (1.66–1.98)</td>
<td>222 (1.95–2.56)</td>
<td>15 (1.33–3.77)</td>
<td>8 (2.95–4.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>541 (0.82–0.97)</td>
<td>97 (0.59–0.88)</td>
<td>12 (0.53–1.68)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>352 (1.49–1.84)</td>
<td>58 (1.21–2.03)</td>
<td>12 (0.71–2.25)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle spasms</td>
<td>291 (2.17–2.74)</td>
<td>57 (3.06–5.13)</td>
<td>10 (1.53–5.40)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>557 (0.56–0.66)</td>
<td>104 (0.46–0.68)</td>
<td>10 (0.35–1.23)</td>
<td>4 (1.06–2.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclaimer: This publication contains information obtained from UMC through https://vigilyze.who-umc.org (restricted access), accessed at 03-11-2016. The information comes from a variety of sources, and the likelihood that the suspected adverse reaction is drug-related is not the same in all cases. The information shown in this article does not represent the opinion of the World Health Organization. For more information see http://www.who-umc.org/graphics/25300.pdf

1ROR computed when more than two cases. The numerator is the number of cases in which montelukast was used and a specific ADR was reported divided by the number of cases using montelukast in which this ADR was not reported. The denominator is the number of pediatric cases using other suspected drugs reporting a specific ADR divided by the number of pediatric cases using other suspected drugs without reporting that specific ADR. The ROR was calculated for the entire group as well as for children.
Considering the disease, it can be stated that allergic granulomatous angiitis will occur in <0.01% of patients treated with montelukast (Dutch Farmacotherapeutic Compass, 2016; Dutch Children’s Formulary, 2016). Former studies have revealed that patients treated with montelukast and allergic granulomatous angiitis are somewhat doubtful. To illustrate, Calapai et al. (2014) argued that most of the patients treated with montelukast who developed symptoms of allergic granulomatous angiitis were also receiving other medications such as corticosteroids or salbutamol, making the relationship with montelukast uncertain. Moreover, all patients with allergic granulomatous angiitis only exhibited symptoms after montelukast had been administered, yet some patients had a decrease in the intake of oral corticosteroids concomitantly. This means that the disease could be masked by the use of corticosteroids and the patient already had angiitis. However, it has been reported that the symptoms of allergic granulomatous angiitis disappeared in some patients after withdrawing montelukast. This can be seen regarded as an argument for a causal relationship.

In an earlier study, it was found that patients treated with montelukast had a 4.5-fold higher risk of allergic granulomatous angiitis onset within 3 months. Nonetheless, the authors questioned a causal relationship because there could be confounding by a general escalation of asthma therapy before allergic granulomatous angiitis onset (Hauser et al. 2008). We could not find animal studies in which a relation between allergic granulomatous angiitis was established. Further prospective studies in larger patient populations are needed to discern the exact relation between montelukast and the occurrence of allergic granulomatous angiitis. Until then, patients treated with montelukast should be followed to detect signs and symptoms of allergic granulomatous angiitis.

Former studies have provided contradictory reports on neuropsychiatric adverse events in montelukast users. In 2009, the US Food and Drug Administration mandated a label change for montelukast and other leukotriene receptor antagonists to include neuropsychiatric adverse events (e.g., depression and suicidality) as a precaution (FDA, 2017). Nonetheless, Ali could not establish a significant association between montelukast and neuropsychiatric events in children with asthma (Ali et al. 2015).

Our data indicate that neuropsychiatric symptoms, such as depression, aggression, suicidal ideation, abnormal behavior, and nightmares, were significantly frequently reported in children and in adults in both the Dutch and the global database. The RORs found in these adverse events were high, pointing to a strong relationship. In addition, although Aldea Perona et al. have argued that more neuropsychiatric symptoms were reported more frequently in children compared to adults (Aldea Perona et al. 2016), we cannot confirm this.

In a recent Spanish study, 24 patients (17 children and seven adults) reported nightmares after montelukast. In 18 patients, the nightmares appeared within the first week of treatment. In 21 cases, the nightmares rapidly resolved after montelukast had been discontinued (Cereza et al. 2012). The relatively high ROR indicates a strong statistical relationship between montelukast and nightmares. This was true for both the Dutch and worldwide population. Although nightmares are often transient in children, they can be frightening for both child and parents and can influence school performance. In adults, sleep disorders can lead to potential dangerous situations in traffic or working with machines (Levin and Nielsen 2007; Simor et al. 2012). This means that the clinician must discuss the possibility of these adverse events with the patient and parents.

As a probable mechanism for the development of neuropsychiatric symptoms, it has been postulated that montelukast causes a higher blood-brain permeability and inhibits the production of neurotransmitters such as serotonin and noradrenalin. Yet, human studies have revealed that the brain does not express leukotriene receptors (Singh et al. 2013) and that montelukast may even cause an inhibition of the blood-brain barrier permeability (Biber et al. 2009). A reason for the higher incidence of agitation in children can be that children have more energy because their symptoms of asthma and/or allergic rhinitis are being tempered by montelukast and parents may interpret this as abnormal behavior or aggression (de Vries and van Hunsel 2016). As of yet, no pathophysiological explanation is found.

It has been established that asthma symptoms are associated with depression and a lower quality of life (Goldney et al. 2003). This means that in some cases, the adverse effect is not a result of the drug but merely a result of unresolved asthma. Further research is required to reveal the mechanism for the higher incidence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients using montelukast in comparison with other medications.

The strength of this study is that the study material consisted of all pediatric and adult ADRs reports on montelukast located in both the database of the Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Center Lareb and the WHO Global ICSR database, VigiBase. As far as we are aware, this is the first study that reports both ADRs in children and adults extracted from two large databases.

However, underreporting is a limitation of using a system of voluntary spontaneous reporting. This entails that the true occurrence of ADRs associated with montelukast cannot be extrapolated from these data. On the other hand, because reporting is voluntary, it will only occur
when patients, parents, or professionals suspect a correlation. Moreover, a voluntary reporting system provides early warnings of drug-related harm. Another limitation of voluntary reporting data is that the causality of the reported ADRs is not always certain.

Conclusion
This article offers a comprehensive overview of the safety of montelukast in clinical practice. Serious ADRs include allergic reactions and chest pain. Although the relation between allergic granulomatous angiitis and montelukast is not elucidated, the prescribing physicians should be alert for signs and symptoms of this rare disease. Severe neuropsychiatric symptoms can occur after montelukast in both adults and children for whom montelukast was prescribed; especially nightmares may occur soon after starting montelukast.
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